

## Everyday Antisemitism in Pre-war Nazi Germany: the Popular Bases

The thesis that manifestations of "antisemitism" in the Third Reich were largely a result of manipulations by Nazi politicians rather than the reflection of true sentiments among the German people appears firmly established nowadays. This thesis treats the course of German history as being devoid of a specific antisemitic tradition and regards what authentic symptoms of antisemitism there were, before and during Hitler's rise to power, as merely incidental 1. One might well agree with Hajo Holborn's suggestion that Hitler, the supreme propagandist of his Nazi Party (NSDAP) and of the Third Reich, conjured up antisemitism by arousing hatred within the Germans, in order to further the regime's ultimate goals. But then one cannot, like Eva Reichmann, altogether discount pre-existing notions of Judeophobia among the German people and, by implication, absolve them of their complicity in the Holocaust <sup>2</sup> . Since the appearance of Reichmann's and Holborn's writings, certain younger scholars, while indubitably presenting cogent arguments, have overemphasized the degree of high-level Nazi management of anti-Jewish action. Conversely, they have downplayed the spontaneity of such action and its general popularity with the German public. In so doing, however, they have come close to mis-comprehending the social basis of antisemitism in the Third Reich and to ignoring its important historical antecedents <sup>3</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The first view has been succinctly stated by Thomas Nipperdey, "1933 und Kontinuitaet der deutschen Geschichte," *Historische Zeitschrift* 227, 1978: 98. An example of the second view is in William Sheridan Allen, The Nazi Seizure of Power: The Experience of a Single German Town 1930–1935, Chicago, 1965, p. 77, who writes that the inhabitants of the small North German town of Northeim ("Thalburg") were drawn to antisemitism because they were drawn to Nazism, not the other way around.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Hajo Holborn, "Origins and Political Character of Nazi Ideology," *Political Science Quarterly* 79, 1964: 546; Eva G. Reichmann, *Die Flucht in den Hass: Die Ursachen der deutschen Judenkatastrophe*, Frankfurt am Main, n.d., especially pp. 279–82. For a recent, sympathetic criticism of Reichmann's view, see Michael R. Marrus, "The Theory and Practice of Antisemitism," *Commentary*, August 1982: 38.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See especially Ian Kershaw, "Antisemitismus und Volksmeinung: Reaktionen auf die Judenverfolgung" (hereafter–Kershaw, "Antisemitismus



In this essay these antecedents will be briefly reviewed, in order to document the continuity of antisemitism in German history primarily as a social phenomenon. Even though the factor of manipulation of the antisemitic issue by the Nazi rulers from 1933 to 1939, for instance in the guise of anti-Jewish "legislation," cannot be denied, more attention will be paid to the grey zones of overlapping responsibilities of a semi-legal and semiprivate nature, as in cases of seemingly spontaneous pogroms by the Stormtroopers (SA), which were witnessed by neutral and often astonished bystanders. A further focus of this paper will be arbitrary, hostile activities visited upon the Jews by civilians or nonofficial institutions after 1933 that must be seen as a consequence of decades, if not centuries, of antisemitism in Germany.

No one could seriously dispute the importance of antisemitism as a social, economic, and political force of great vitality in the history of Germany, more precisely: in the history of coexistence of Germans and Jews since the late

und Volksmeinung") in Martin Broszat and Elke Froelich, eds., Bayern in der NS-Zeit II: Herrschaft und Gesellschaft im Konflikt, Teil A, Munich and Vienna, 1979, pp. 291-308; idem, "The Persecution of the Jews and German Popular Opinion in the Third Reich," Leo Baeck Institute Year Book 26, 1981: 261-89; idem , "Alltaegliches und Ausseralltaegliches: Ihre Bedeutung fuer die Volksmeinung 1933-1939," Detlev Peukert and Juergen Reulecke, eds., Die Reihen fest geschlossen: Beitraege zur Geschichte des Alltags unterm Nationalsozialismus, Wuppertal, 1981 (hereafter–Peukert and Reulecke), pp. 273-92. Kershaw also underestimates antisemitism as a factor in the pre-1933 rise of National Socialism, in his "Ideology, Propaganda, and the Rof the Nazi Party," in Peter D. Stachura, ed., The Nazi Machtergreifung, London, 1983, pp. 167-68. To a lesser extent Falk Wiesemann's remarks in M. Broszat et al., eds., Bayern in der NS-Zeit: Soziale Lage und politisches Verhalten der Bevoelkerung im Spiegel vertraulicher Berichte, Munich and Vienna, 1977, p. 430. Such interpretation is supported, from the contemporary view of the 1930s, by judgements in the reports of the exiled SPD. See, for example, Deutschland-Berichte der Sozialdemokratischen Deutschlands (Sopade) 1934-1940, Salzhausen and Frankfurt am Main, 1970, 2 (1935): 925; and ibid. 7 (1940): 260. A balanced assessment of the problem is given in Lawrence D. Stokes, "The German People and the Destruction of the European Jews," Central European History 6, 1973 (hereaft-Stokes), especially pp. 173-74, 182, 190.



Middle Ages <sup>4</sup>. In the centuries following the Protestant Reformation German Jews were able to improve their lot slowly, although they had to wait for the Enlightenment and for Napoleonic rule in order to experience real emancipatory progress. In the nineteenth century they gradually received full political, if not full social, rights. Significantly, the rate of Jewish integration into German society was not commensurate with the degree of political equalisation - a condition that still distinguished this minority from other Germans <sup>5</sup>.

As George L. Mosse, Peter G.J. Pulzer, and others have indicated, the fate of German Jews again deteriorated at the dawn of the twentieth century, as the new factor of ideology that claimed to set the Jews anthropologically apart from Gentile Germans came into play. Perhaps one of the most negative side-effects of the budding modern democracy in those decades was that antisemitism, as a novel racist creed, could now be articulated with impunity at various political levels. Due to the efforts of such politically influential men as Heinrich von Treitschke, Max Hugo, Liebermann von Sonnenberg, and Heinrich Class, anti-Jewish prejudices once more had become well entrenched within German society by the time of World War I <sup>6</sup>. For many

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See the relevant chapters in Max L. Margolis and Alexander Marx, *A History of the Jewish People*, New York, 1974. See also Wanda Kampmann, *Deutsche und Juden: Studien zur Geschichte des deutschen Judentums*, Heidelberg, 1963 (hereafter–Kampmann), pp. 13–34; Leo Sievers, *Juden in Deutschland: Die Geschichte einer 2000jaehrigen Tragoedie*, Hamburg, 1979 (hereafter–Sievers), pp. 21–56.

Feinhard Ruerup, Emanzipation und Antisemitismus: Studien zur "Judenfrage" der buergerlichen Gesellschaft, Goettingen, 1975 (hereafter–Ruerup), pp. 11–73; Kampmann, pp. 35–224; Sievers, pp. 83–224. For Stuttgart, see Maria Zelzer, Weg und Schicksal der Stuttgarter Juden: Ein Gedenkbuch, Stuttgart, n.d. (hereafter–Zelzer), pp. 20–60. For the example of Gerson von Bleichroeder, see Fritz Stern, Gold and Iron: Bismarck, Bleichroeder, and the Building of the German Empire, New York, 1977 (hereafter–F. Stern), pp. 461–93; for the example of Albert Ballin, see Lamar Cecil, Albert Ballin: Business and Politics in Imperial Germany 1889–1918, Princeton, 1967, pp. 3–142.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> George L. Mosse, *Germans and Jews: The Right, the Left, and the Search for a "Third Force" in Pre-Nazi Germany*, New York, 1971, pp. 3–76; Peter G. J. Pulzer, *The Rise of Political Antisemitism in Germany and Austria*, New York, 1964 (hereafter–Pulzer), pp. 76–126. See also Ruerup, pp. 74–114; F. Stern, pp. 494–531; Gordon A. Craig, *Modern Germany* 1866–1945, New



German Jews the phase of the Weimar Republic from 1918/19 to 1933 promised to complete the process of emancipation begun so prodigiously before 1914 <sup>7</sup>. At the outset, this impression surely seemed justified, especially when the Republic was compared with Eastern European countries <sup>8</sup> . However, precisely because the Republic became associated, in the mind of the German public, with increased liberties for Jews, it provoked further Judeo-phobia. Hence, one can argue that there was a continuation of previous antisemitic trends, notably the ideologically motivated strains of the post-Bismarckian era, facets of which eventually merged easily with the pronouncedly virulent Jew hatred of the Nazis 9. Despite the fact that Jewish soldiers took full part in military activities during WW I, they were sometimes discriminated against in the armed forces, and the antisemitic German press characterized German Jews as war shirkers who would stay behind the front

York and Oxford, 1978, pp. 83-85, 204; Richard S. Levy, The Downfall of the Antisemitic Political Parties in Imperial Germany, New Haven and London, 1975: and the pre-1914 chapters in Brewster S. Chamberlain, "The Enemy on the Right: The Alldeutsche Verband in the Weimar Republic, 1918–1926,"

PhD dissertation, University of Maryland, 1972.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Donald L. Niewyck, *The Jews in Weimar Germany*, Baton Rouge and London, 1980 (hereafter-Niewyck), especially p. 12; Egmont Zechlin, Die deutsche Politik und die Juden im Ersten Weltkrieg, Goettingen, 1969 (hereafter-Zechlin), pp. 554-55; Heinemann Stern, Warum hassen sie uns eigentlich? Juedisches Leben zwischen den Kriegen, ed. Hans Ch. Meyer, Duesseldorf, 1970 (hereafter-H. Stern), p. 163; Wolfgang Scheffler, Judenverfolgung im Dritten Reich 1933–1945, 2nd ed., Berlin, 1964, p. 15. <sup>8</sup> Undoubtedly, this is why many Russian and Polish Jews came to Germany after 1918, even though some of them went on to France and the USA. See S. Adler-Rudel, Ostjuden in Deutschland, 1880–1940: Zugleich eine Geschichte der Organisationen, die sie betreuten, Tuebingen, 1959, pp. 64-150. For Heilbronn, see Hans Franke, Geschichte und Schicksal der Juden in Heilbronn: Vom Mittelalter bis zur Zeit der nationalsozialistischen Verfolgungen (1050-1945), Heilbronn, 1963 (hereafter-Franke), pp. 106-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> This is ably shown in Monika Richarz's introduction to the book she edited, Juedisches Leben in Deutschland: Selbstzeugnisse zur Sozialgeschichte 1918–1945, Stuttgart, 1982, esp. pp. 28–30, 37. Also see first-hand accounts by survivors, ibid., pp. 77-227. Further, see the balanced judgements rendered in a volume of essays edited by Werner E. Mosse, Entscheidungsjahr 1932: Zur Judenfrage in der Endphase der Weimarer Republik, 2nd ed., Tuebingen, 1966 (hereafter-W. E. Mosse). The blending of pro-Nazi and Nazi impulses of antisemitism is most ably described in Niewyck, pp. 46-54.



lines in order to engage in war-profiteering <sup>10</sup>. Antisemitism at the grass-roots level became manifest in the fall of 1918, when angry mobs in Munich and Berlin physically attacked Jews during the turmoil of the revolution<sup>11</sup>, and in 1923/24, when in several areas of the Reich, including the capital, Jewish shopkeepers were manhandled and even killed <sup>12</sup>. The concentration of Jews in certain occupations (e.g., cattle-vending and tailoring within the lower middle class, and legal and medical practice within the upper class) and their preponderance in urban locations like Berlin, Frankfurt am Stain, Hamburg, and Breslau, facilitated random attacks on and wanton discrimination against them throughout the Weimar Republic <sup>13</sup>. In her recently published memoirs, the German-Jewish physician Dr. Kaete Frankenthal writes that in the early days of the Republic she was offered an opportunity to engage in postdoctoral studies at the University of Greifswald (hardly a mainstay of antisemitism). Yet she did not accept the position, as she had doubts whether she would be tolerated there sufficiently to enable her to finish her studies <sup>14</sup>. "Antisemitism,

0

Pulzer, p. 289; Zechlin, pp. 517–53; H. Stern, pp. 96–97, 106; Paul Sauer, Die juedischen Gemeinden in Wuerttemberg und Hohenzollern: Denkmale, Geschichte, Schicksale, Stuttgart, 1966 (hereafter–Sauer), p. 196.
Theodor Abel, Why Hitler Came To Power, New York, 1966; first printing, 1936, p. 156; George L. Mosse, Toward the Final Solution: A History of European Racism, New York, 1978, pp. 177–78.

Julius Wissmann, "Zur Geschichte der Juden in Wuerttemberg 1924–1939" (hereafter–Wissmann) in Sauer, p. 200; Max P. Birnbaum, Staat und Synagoge 1918–1938: Eine Geschichte des Preussischen Landesverbandes juedischer Gemeinden (1918–1938), Tuebingen, 1981 (hereafter–Birnbaum), p. 85

For the demography and social conditions of German Jews, see Heinrich Silbergleit, *Die Bevoelkerungs- und Berufsverhaeltnisse der Juden im Deutschen Reich*, Berlin, 1930; Esra Bennathan, "Die demographische und wirtschaftliche Struktur der Juden," in W. E. Mosse, pp. 87–131; Gerhard Schulz, *Aufstieg des Nationalsozialismus: Krise und Revolution in Deutschland*, Frankfurt am Main, 1975 (hereafter–Schulz), pp. 615–17. As an impressionistic account of Jews in Frankfurt, see Valentin Senger, *No. 12 Kaiserhofstrasse*, New York, 1980, pp. 9–53.

Kaete Frankental, *Der dreifache Fluch: Juedin, Intellektuelle, Sozialistin: rztin in Deutschland und im Exil*, Frankfurt am *Lebenserinnerungen einer* Main, 1981 (hereafter–Frankethal), p. 99. Also see the examples in G. L. Mosse, *Final Solution*, pp. –79; Niewyck, pp. 55–81; Franke, p. 110; Stern, pp. 104–05, 163–80; Michael H. Kater, *Studentenfreundschaft und Rechtsradikalismu in Deutschland 1918–1933: Eine sozialgeschichtliche Studie zur Bildungskrise in der Weimarer Republik*, Hamburg, 1975, pp. 146–



with all its well-known signs,' writes a surviving eyewitness, the German-Jewish high-school teacher Dr. Heinemann Stern, fully showed itself at a time when Hitler and his movement were still the object of curiosity or of casual jokes." <sup>15</sup>

In the Weimar Republic, many of these Jew-haters turned to National Socialism because it offered them an outlet for their antisemitism <sup>16</sup> . Historically, many privately or spontaneously executed acts of antisemitism during the early years of the Third Reich can be linked to precedents perpetrated by vicious antisemites in the republican era. Hence in Hanover, at a public meeting in July 1922, after local Nazi leader Gustav Seifert had blamed all of Germany's ills on the influx of Eastern Jews, his remarks were loudly applauded by the audience <sup>17</sup>. The Nazis formulated a specifically anti-Jewish platform as part of their February 1920 program and toward the end of the Republic they reiterated that in a Third Reich Jews would be "deprived of their rights by legal process". 18 They consistently fanned the flames of antisemitism by the fabrication and distribution of hate propaganda, especially after 1929. Their tirades were ingeniously contrived to cater to all manner of German groups, whatever their antisemitic motives. Many German women, for instance, customarily bore grudges against Jews for religious or aesthetic reasons, so the Nazis tried to reach them on those grounds <sup>19</sup>. Since in some

4

<sup>47;</sup> Franz Hundsnurscher and Gerhard Taddey, *Die Juedischen Gemeinden in Baden: Denkmale, Geschichte, Schicksale*, Stuttgart, 1968, p. 22. In more general terms: Zechlin, p. 565; Alex Bein, *Die Judenfrage: Biographie eines Weltproblems*, Stuttgart, 1980 (hereafter–Bein), Vol. 1, p. 371.

H. Stern, p. 168. As in this case, all translations of original German texts into English are by the author.

This connection is explained in Michael H. Kater, *The Nazi Party: A Social Profile of Members and Leaders*, 1919–1945, Cambridge, Mass., 1983 (hereafter–Kater, Nazi Party), pp. 19–71. See also Peter H. Merkl, *Political Violence under the Swastika: 581 Early Nazis*, Princeton, 1975, passim.

John Farquharson, "The NSDAP in Hanover and Lower Saxony 1921–26," Journal of Contemporary History, Vol. 8, No. 4, October 1973, p. 110.

Niewyck, p. 53, Karl A. Schleunes, *The Twisted Road to Auschwitz: Nazi Policy Toward German Jews* 1933–1939, Urbana, III., 1979 (hereafter–Schleunes), p. 70.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> See the reference to *Unsittlichkeit* (immorality) in an anti-Jewish flyer, "Wohnungsnot und Juden-Einwanderung," n.d. [appr. 1929], Staatliches Archivlager Goettingen, Gauarchiv Ostpreussen, Stiftung Preussischer Kulturbesitz, microfilms Niedersaechsisches Staatsarchiv Bueckeburg



areas of Germany, predominantly the small towns and rural districts, the myth of Jewish ritual killings of young Christian boys was still given credence, Der Stuermer, the weekly paper of Nuremberg Jew-baiter Julius Streicher, published spurious evidence on Jewish child-murders that was received from as far afield as East Prussia  $^{20}$ .

Many Germans of the Weimar Republic resented the Jews as economic rivals, be they doctors or lawyers within the upper segments of German society<sup>21</sup>, or shopkeepers and tradesmen in the lower middle class <sup>22</sup>. The latter found a particularly accommodating political champion in Adolf Hitler, and the Fuehrer and his lieutenants in turn fully exploited the Semitism of carpenters, greengrocers, and their likes. The durability of this alliance must be regarded as one of the chief reasons for the success of the Nazi movement until 1933. Examples of this mutually complementary relationship are plentiful. In January 1928 a paperhanger from Rheinish Krefeld sent a linocut he had made to the regional Nazi Party headquarters; it depicted a National-Socialist knight galloping over a Jewish dragon <sup>23</sup>. Three years later East Prussian tobacco vendors were said to be joining the Nazis because of the competition in the trade by "Eastern Galician Jews."<sup>24</sup>

(hereafter–SAG), SF 6826, GA/101. Examples of rabid antisemitism among German women of the upper classes are to be found in Guida Diehl, *Die Deutsche Frau und der Nationalsozialismus*, Eisenach, 1933, pp. 15, 56; Hildegard Passow, "Juedische Greuelpropaganda," Informationsdienst der NSF (Deutscher Frauenorden), No. 11, Munich, April 8, 1933, Hoover Institution on War, Revolution and Peace, Stanford, NSDAP Hauptarchiv, microfilm 13/254.

35.

Correspondence of *Der Stuermer* with NSDAP chapter Koenigsberg, December 1932, SAG, SF 6818, GA–29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> See Kater, *Nazi Party* , pp. 67–68, 110–11.

The antisemitism of the lower-middle-class members in the Weimar Republic is treated in Heinrich August Winkler, Mittelstand, Demokratie und Nationalsozialismus: *Die politische Entwicklung von Handwerk und Kleinhandel in der Weimarer Republik*, Cologne, 1972 (see, for instance, p. 177). See also Schulz, p. 618.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Enclosure with letter from Beil to Gauleitung Ruhr, Krefeld, January 14, 1928, Hauptstaatsarchiv Duesseldorf, RW 23/NSDAP, Gauleitung Ruhr.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Brettschneider to Heidrich, Elbing, January 23, 1931, SAG, SF 6819, GA-



It must be said that the Nazis appealed to these feelings with a great deal of sensitivity, and it is entirely possible that with their clever slogans and specious but effective argumentation they inculcated antisemitic resentment among those members of the lower middle class who had formerly been neutral or impervious. A case in point is the well-known Nazi campaign against German chain stores, many of which were indeed owned by Jews, in the midst of the Great Depression in December 1930. The Nazi allegation, directed toward Gentile shopkeepers and corner-store proprietors, that "Jewish department stores are destroying the retail trade," not only fell on the open ears of those who had started to suffer from economic setbacks and were, typically, blaming the Jews already, but it may also have reached and converted to Nazism those who were afraid of running into trouble in the future. Squarely, the Nazis told them who would be responsible on all counts: the Jews. Hence new antisemites could easily have been reared <sup>25</sup> . It was at about this time, after the Nazis had made astonishing gains in the September 1930 elections, that the first serious anti-Jewish pogrom broke out in Berlin, orchestrated, it is true, by the Nazi Wolf Heinrich Graf von Helldorf, but staged by people who, if they were National Socialists, certainly had not been compelled to join Hitler's movement by anything but their own decision, however that was motivated 26.

During the early days of the Third Reich the German Jews were not persecuted within the framework of a rationally conceived scheme nor according to a secret master plan, although Hitler's instinctive antipathy to them always remained, implicitly, the ideal guideline. After January 30, 1933, Nazi policy against the Jews came to resemble a pattern of interactions

The Nazis argued wrongly, but, according to lower-middle-class shopkeeper mentality, utterly convincingly, when they said: Cheap merchandise, manufactured under the personal direction of Jews, is flooding the Christmas market. The golden calf is playing the role of the Christ child! With typically Jewish cynicism one rabbi says: Too bad that Mary did not bear two Jesus boys. Had she done so, our people could now double their Christmas sales!!!' (flyer, "Die Christus ans Kreuz schlugen, machen das Weihnachts-Geschaeft!" Koenigsberg, n.d. [shortly before December 12, 1930], SAG, SF 6826, GA–101). See also Thomas Childers, *The Nazi Voter: The Social Foundation of Fascism in Germany, 1919–1933*, Chapel Hill and Lon, 1983.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Frankenthal, p. 237. See also Franke, p. 108; Birnbaum, p. 186.



between private or personal initiative, semi-legal activities (in which the avant-garde of the Nazi Party, notably the SA, were often pitted against the more temperate officials of the state), and, Finally, governmental legislation. Such legislation was introduced relatively haphazardly and appeared to touch only on major aspects of what was officiously called the "Jewish Question," but it was intended to be synchronised with the less official action. Evidently Hitler and his cronies hoped that such action would in itself suffice to motivate the German Jews to leave the country. Paradoxically, when popular and official persecutions did begin, the opportunities for Jewish emigration abroad were progressively curtailed until, in the end, Hitler and his followers consolidated and carried out the plan of the Final Solution <sup>27</sup>.

Prior to the outbreak of World War II, official antisemitic policy, which not only lacked the sanction of populist initiative but transpired entirely beyond the control and even knowledge of the common people, was implemented at two levels: that of the state and of the party. This distinction is important, because activities at the party level -at least potentially -allowed for the participation of "Aryan" civilians and thus could provide visible proof of the kind of popular, spontaneous antisemitism which the Nazis were always at great pains to demonstrate, particularly to foreign critics. There were two main ordinances at the governmental level, and two additional lines of action at the party level that were government-inspired and officially supported. The first governmental law against German Jews was the one regarding the "Reconstitution of the Civil Service" of April 7, 1933, and it was later followed by the Nuremberg race legislation of September 15, 1935 <sup>28</sup>.

The background for the above is in Hans Mommsen, "Der nationalsozialistische Polizeistaat und die Judenverfolgung vor 1938," *Vierteljahreshefte fuer Zeitgeschichte* 10, 1962: 68–77; Schleunes; Uwe Dietrich Adam, *Judenpolitik im Dritten Reich*, Duesseldorf, 1979 (hereafter–Adam); and Raul Hilberg, *The Destruction of European Jews*, Chicago, 1967, pp. 18–105. Also see Mommsen, "Die Realisierung des Utopischen: Die Endloesung der Judenfrage' im Dritten Reich," *Geschichte und Gesellschaft* 9, 1983: 381–420.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Partial text is in Gerd Ruehle, *Das Dritte Reich: Dokumentarische Darstellung des Aufbaues der Nation*, Berlin, 1933, pp. 112–13, and cf. p. 145. The most exhaustive interpretation of this law is still that in Hans Mommsen, *Beamtentum im* Dritten Reich: *Mit ausgewaehlten Quellen zur* 



The anti-Jewish machinations instigated by agencies of the NSDAP consisted of the boycott of April 1, 1933, and the events of the so-called Reichskristallnacht of November 9 to 10, 1938, about which much has already been written <sup>29</sup>. In both these scenarios, the main protagonists were members of the predatory SA. Taking his cue from these Brown Shirts, who had been molesting Jews - especially those with small and medium-sized business - indiscriminately since the political take over at the end of January 1933, Hitler, while trying to ensure full control over the SA, decided to give them free reign in the boycott of Jewish businesses and offices on April 1, 1933, thereby attaining several goals at once. First, he could teach German Jews a harsh lesson by letting them know that his brand of antisemitism was not trivial but serious <sup>30</sup>. Second, he was able, momentarily at least, to placate the

nationalsozialistischen Beamtenpolitik, Stuttgart, 1966, pp. 39–61. See also Adam, pp. 51–64. See Gerd Ruehle, Das Dritte Reich: Dokumentarische Darstellung des Aufbaues der Nation: Das dritte Jahr 1935, Berlin, 1935, pp. 254–58, 277–82; Schleunes, pp. 120–32; Adam, pp. 114–44.

- A contemporary Nazi interpretation of *Reichskristallnacht* and its consequences is provided in Gerd Ruehle, *Das Dritte Reich: Dokumentarische Darstellung des Aufbaues der Nation: Das sechste Jahr 1938*, *Berlin, 1938*, pp. 394–404. Critically and in the context of other official antisemitic measures: Karl Dietrich Bracher, *The German Dictatorship: The Origins, Structure, and Effects of National Socialism*, New York and Washington, 1972, pp. 366–68; Hermann Graml, *Der 9. November 1938: "Reichskristallnacht,"* Bonn, 1958; Helmut Genschel, *Die Verdraengung der Juden aus der Wirtschaft im Dritten Reich*, Goettingen, 1966, pp. 177–217; Schleunes, pp. 214–54; Adam, pp. 204–16. For local examples of how the destruction was organised see, in the case of Mannheim, Hans Joachim Fliedner, *Die Judenverfolgung in Mannheim 1933–1945*, Stuttgart, 1971 (hereafter–Fliedner), pp. 199–204; in the case of Stuttgart, Zelzer, pp. 194–96.
- In 1933 and even later, there was a sizeable number of German Jews who either did not believe Hitler's judeophobic utterances or worse pretended to identify themselves with them by pointing their fingers at "bad" Germans or allegedly inferior Eastern Jews. For the period before April 1, 1933, see the evidence in Peter Hanke, *Zur Geschichte der Juden in Muenchen zwischen* 1933–1945, Munich, 1967 (hereafter–Hanke), pp. 106–108; Frankenthal, p. 235; entry for March 28, 1933, in Erich Ebermayer, *Denn heute gehoert uns Deutschland. Persoenliches und politisches Tagebuch: Von der Machtergreifung bis zum 31. Dezember 1935*, Hamburg and Vienna, 1959, p. 49. See also Fliedner, p. 45; Carl J. Rheins, "Deutscher Vortrupp, Gefolgschaft deutscher Juden 1933–1935," *Leo Baeck Institute Year Book* 26, 1981: 207–29; Hans-Joachim Schoeps, "*Bereit fuer Deutschland*": *Der Patriotismus deutscher Juden und der Nationalsozialismus: Fruehe Schriften*



placate the impatient Brown Shirts who were increasingly crying out for the fulfillment of a "second" Nazi revolution; at the same time he could attempt to contain them. And third, he could utilize these initial anti-Jewish measures to gain a sense of future direction for himself, in terms of how far the German people would be prepared to go, the Jewish reaction with a view to emigration, and the technical and logistic aspects of the implementation of antisemitic policy.

Source: Michael H. Kater, *Yad Vashem Studies* XVI (Jerusalem, 1984), pp. 129-159.

1930 bis 1939: Eine historische Dokumentation, Berlin, 1970, pp. 9–166; example Toni Ullstein in Hermann Zondek, Auf festem Fusse: Erinnerungen eines juedischen Klinikers, Stuttgart, 1973, p. 171. Especially telling is the telegram by the Jewish Centralverein functionary Dr. Julius Brodnitz to Hitler [Berlin], March 23 [1933]: "Zusammenstellung der Schritte, die der Centralverein Deutscher Staatsbuerger Juedischen Glaubens e.V. gegen die Greuelpropaganda des Auslandes [!] unternommen hat," n.d. [1933], SAG, SF 6818, GA-29. For Goering's role in this see Birnbaum, p. 225, n. 3. The examples of the Jewish Professor Otto Lubarsch, at the medical faculty of the University of Berlin, and (honorary) Professor Paul Nikolaus Cossmann, in Munich, are particularly tragic. Both were hyper-nationalistic and on the verge of antisemitism. Lubarsch greeted Hitler's rise to power with enthusiasm. He died in April 1933 before the Nazis could touch him, but Cossmann was deported and killed in Theresienstadt (1942). On Lubarsch, see Walter Stoeckel, Erinnerungen eines Frauenarztes, ed. Hans Borgelt, Munich, 1966, p. 180; Otto Lubarsch, Ein bewegtes Gelehrtenleben: Erinnerungen und Erlebnisse, Kaempfe und Gedanken, Berlin, 1931, pp. 539-68. On Cossmann, see George F. W. Hallgarten, Als die Schatten fielen: Erinnerungen vom Jahrhundertbeginn zur Jahrtausendwende, Frankfurt am Main, 1969, pp. 67-76; Else Behrend-Rosenfeld, Ich stand nicht allein: Erlebnisse einer Juedin in Deutschland 1933-1944, 2nd ed., Frankfurt am Main, 1963 (hereafter-Behrend-Rosenfeld), pp. 118-19, 159-60, 171; Rolf Hochhuth's introduction to Joseph Goebbels, Tageböcher 1945: Die letzen Aufzeichnunsen, Hamburg, 1977, p.26. For the mind-boggling example of a few Stuttgart Jews who wished to join the NSDAP after 1933, see Zekzer. p.161 (see also pp.99-105).